

# On Akbar-Zadeh's Theorem on a Finsler Space of Constant Curvature

A. Soleiman

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,  
Benha University, Benha, Egypt  
soleiman@yahoo.com

**Abstract.** The aim of the present paper is to give two *intrinsic* generalizations of Akbar-Zadeh's theorem on a Finsler space of constant curvature. Some consequences, of these generalizations, are drawn.

**Keywords:** Cartan Connection, Akbar-Zadeh's theorem, symmetric Manifold,  $S_3$ -like manifold,  $S_4$ -like manifold.

**MSC.** 53C60, 53B40.

## Introduction

In [1], Akbar-Zadeh proved *locally* that if the  $h$ -curvature  $R_{ijk}^r$  of the Cartan connection  $CT$  associated with a Finsler manifold  $(M, L)$ ,  $\dim M \geq 3$ , satisfies

$$R_{ijk}^r = k(x, y)(g_{ij}\delta_k^r - g_{ik}\delta_j^r),$$

where  $k(x, y)$  is a scalar function on  $\mathcal{T}M$ , positively homogeneous of degree zero ((0) p-homogeneous), then

(a)  $k$  is constant,

(b) if  $k \neq 0$ , then

(1) the  $v$ -curvature of  $CT$  vanishes:  $S_{ijk}^r = 0$ ,

(2) the  $hv$ -curvature of  $CT$  is symmetric with respect to the last two indices:  
 $P_{ijk}^r = P_{ikj}^r$ .

In [4], Hōjō showed *locally* that if the  $h$ -curvature  $R_{ijk}^r$  of the generalized Cartan connection  $CT$ ,  $\dim M \geq 3$ , satisfies<sup>1</sup>

$$R_{ijk}^r = k(x, y)\mathfrak{A}_{j,k} \{q g_{ij}\delta_k^r + (q - 2)(g_{ij}\ell_k^r - \delta_j^r \ell_i \ell_k)\},$$

where  $k(x, y)$  is a (0) p-homogeneous scalar function and  $1 \neq q \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

---

<sup>1</sup> $\mathfrak{A}_{ij}$  indicates interchanges of indices  $j$  and  $k$ , and subtraction:  $\mathfrak{A}_{ij} \{F_{ij}\} = F_{ij} - F_{ji}$

- (a)  $k$  is constant,
- (b) if  $k \neq 0$ , then

- (1) the  $v$ -curvature of  $C\Gamma$  satisfies  $S_{ijk}^r = \frac{q-2}{2(1-q)} \mathfrak{A}_{j,k} \{h_{ij} h_k^r\}$ ,
- (2) the  $hv$ -curvature of  $C\Gamma$  is symmetric with respect to the last two indices.

The aim of the present paper is to provide two *intrinsic* generalizations of Akbar-Zadeh's and Hōjō's theorems. As a by-product, some consequences concerning  $S_3$ -like and  $S_4$ -like spaces, are deduced.

The present work is formulated in a coordinate-free form, without being trapped into the complications of indices. However, the local expressions of the obtained results, when calculated, coincides with the existing local results.

## 1. Notation and Preliminaries

In this section, we give a brief account of the basic concepts of the pullback approach to intrinsic Finsler geometry necessary for this work. For more details, we refer to [2], [3] and [5]. We shall use the same notations of [5].

In what follows, we denote by  $\pi : \mathcal{T}M \rightarrow M$  the subbundle of nonzero vectors tangent to  $M$ ,  $\mathfrak{X}(\pi(M))$  the  $\mathfrak{F}(TM)$ -module of differentiable sections of the pullback bundle  $\pi^{-1}(TM)$ . The elements of  $\mathfrak{X}(\pi(M))$  will be called  $\pi$ -vector fields and will be denoted by barred letters  $\overline{X}$ . The tensor fields on  $\pi^{-1}(TM)$  will be called  $\pi$ -tensor fields. The fundamental  $\pi$ -vector field is the  $\pi$ -vector field  $\overline{\eta}$  defined by  $\overline{\eta}(u) = (u, u)$  for all  $u \in TM$ .

We have the following short exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(TM) \xrightarrow{\gamma} T(\mathcal{T}M) \xrightarrow{\rho} \pi^{-1}(TM) \rightarrow 0,$$

with the well known definitions of the bundle morphisms  $\rho$  and  $\gamma$ . The vector space  $V_u(\mathcal{T}M) = \{X \in T_u(\mathcal{T}M) : d\pi(X) = 0\}$  is called the vertical space to  $M$  at  $u$ .

Let  $D$  be a linear connection (or simply a connection) on the pullback bundle  $\pi^{-1}(TM)$ . We associate with  $D$  the map  $K : T\mathcal{T}M \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(TM) : X \mapsto D_X \overline{\eta}$ , called the connection map of  $D$ . The vector space  $H_u(\mathcal{T}M) = \{X \in T_u(\mathcal{T}M) : K(X) = 0\}$  is called the horizontal space to  $M$  at  $u$ . The connection  $D$  is said to be regular if  $T_u(\mathcal{T}M) = V_u(\mathcal{T}M) \oplus H_u(\mathcal{T}M) \forall u \in \mathcal{T}M$ .

If  $M$  is endowed with a regular connection, then the vector bundle maps  $\gamma, \rho|_{H(\mathcal{T}M)}$  and  $K|_{V(\mathcal{T}M)}$  are vector bundle isomorphisms. The map  $\beta := (\rho|_{H(\mathcal{T}M)})^{-1}$  will be called the horizontal map of the connection  $D$ .

The horizontal ((h)h-) and mixed ((h)hv-) torsion tensors of  $D$ , denoted by  $Q$  and  $T$  respectively, are defined by

$$Q(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = \mathbf{T}(\beta \overline{X} \beta \overline{Y}), \quad T(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = \mathbf{T}(\gamma \overline{X}, \beta \overline{Y}) \quad \forall \overline{X}, \overline{Y} \in \mathfrak{X}(\pi(M)),$$

where  $\mathbf{T}$  is the (classical) torsion tensor field associated with  $D$ .

The horizontal (h-), mixed (hv-) and vertical (v-) curvature tensors of  $D$ , denoted by  $R$ ,  $P$  and  $S$  respectively, are defined by

$$R(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) \overline{Z} = \mathbf{K}(\beta \overline{X} \beta \overline{Y}) \overline{Z}, \quad P(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) \overline{Z} = \mathbf{K}(\beta \overline{X}, \gamma \overline{Y}) \overline{Z}, \quad S(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) \overline{Z} = \mathbf{K}(\gamma \overline{X}, \gamma \overline{Y}) \overline{Z},$$

where  $\mathbf{K}$  is the (classical) curvature tensor field associated with  $D$ .

The contracted curvature tensors of  $D$ , denoted by  $\widehat{R}$ ,  $\widehat{P}$  and  $\widehat{S}$  respectively, known also as the (v)h-, (v)hv- and (v)v-torsion tensors, are defined by

$$\widehat{R}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = R(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{\eta}, \quad \widehat{P}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = P(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{\eta}, \quad \widehat{S}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = S(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{\eta}.$$

If  $M$  is endowed with a metric  $g$  on  $\pi^{-1}(TM)$ , we write

$$R(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z}, \overline{W}) := g(R(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z}, \overline{W}), \dots, S(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z}, \overline{W}) := g(S(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z}, \overline{W}). \quad (1.1)$$

The following result is of extreme importance.

**Theorem 1.1.** [8] *Let  $(M, L)$  be a Finsler manifold and  $g$  the Finsler metric defined by  $L$ . There exists a unique regular connection  $\nabla$  on  $\pi^{-1}(TM)$  such that*

- (a)  $\nabla$  is metric:  $\nabla g = 0$ ,
- (b) The (h)h-torsion of  $\nabla$  vanishes:  $Q = 0$ ,
- (c) The (h)hv-torsion  $T$  of  $\nabla$  satisfies:  $g(T(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}), \overline{Z}) = g(T(\overline{X}, \overline{Z}), \overline{Y})$ .

Such a connection is called the Cartan connection associated with the Finsler manifold  $(M, L)$ .

## 2. First generalization of Akbar-Zadeh theorem

In this section, we investigate an intrinsic generalization of Akbar-Zadeh theorem. We begin first with the following two lemmas which will be useful for subsequent use.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\nabla$  be the Cartan connection on a Finsler manifold  $(M, L)$ . For a  $\pi$ -tensor field  $\omega$  of type  $(1, 1)$ , we have the following commutation formulae:*

- (a)  $(\overset{2}{\nabla}\overset{2}{\nabla}\omega)(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z}) - (\overset{2}{\nabla}\overset{2}{\nabla}\omega)(\overline{Y}, \overline{X}, \overline{Z}) = \omega(S(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z}) - S(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\omega(\overline{Z}),$
- (b)  $(\overset{2}{\nabla}\overset{1}{\nabla}\omega)(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z}) - (\overset{1}{\nabla}\overset{2}{\nabla}\omega)(\overline{Y}, \overline{X}, \overline{Z}) = \omega(P(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z}) - P(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\omega(\overline{Z})$   
 $+ (\overset{2}{\nabla}\omega)(\widehat{P}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}), \overline{Z}) + (\overset{1}{\nabla}\omega)(T(\overline{Y}, \overline{X}), \overline{Z}),$
- (c)  $(\overset{1}{\nabla}\overset{1}{\nabla}\omega)(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z}) - (\overset{1}{\nabla}\overset{1}{\nabla}\omega)(\overline{Y}, \overline{X}, \overline{Z}) = \omega(R(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z}) - R(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\omega(\overline{Z})$   
 $+ (\overset{2}{\nabla}\omega)(\widehat{R}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}), \overline{Z}),$

where  $\overset{1}{\nabla}$  and  $\overset{2}{\nabla}$  are the h- and v-covariant derivatives associated with  $\nabla$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $(M, L)$  be a Finsler manifold,  $g$  the Finsler metric defined by  $L$ ,  $\ell := L^{-1}i_{\overline{\eta}}g$  and  $\hbar := \ell \circ \ell - g$  the angular metric tensor. Then, we have:*

- (a)  $\overset{1}{\nabla}L = 0, \quad \overset{2}{\nabla}L = \ell.$
- (b)  $\overset{1}{\nabla}\ell = 0, \quad \overset{2}{\nabla}\ell = L^{-1}\hbar.$

$$(c) \quad i_{\phi\eta}\ell = L, \quad i_{\phi\eta}h = 0.$$

*Proof.* The proof follows from the fact that  $\nabla g = 0$  and  $g(\phi\eta, \phi\eta) = L^2$ .  $\square$

Now, we have

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $(M, L)$  be a Finsler manifold of dimension  $n$  and  $g$  the Finsler metric defined by  $L$ . If the  $(v)h$ -torsion tensor  $\hat{R}$  of the Cartan connection is given by*

$$\hat{R}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = kL(\ell(\bar{X})\bar{Y} - \ell(\bar{Y})\bar{X}), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $k(x, y)$  is a homogenous function of degree 0 in  $y$  ( $\nabla_{\gamma\phi\eta}k = 0$ ), then we have:

$$(a) \quad \mathfrak{S}_{\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}} R(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\bar{Z} = 0. \quad ^2$$

$$(b) \quad k \text{ is constant if } \dim M \geq 3.$$

*Proof.*

(a) We have [9]:

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}} R(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\bar{Z} = \mathfrak{S}_{\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}} T(\hat{R}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}), \bar{Z}). \quad (2.2)$$

From (2.1), noting that the  $(h)hv$ -torsion  $T$  is symmetric, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_{\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}} T(\hat{R}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}), \bar{Z}) &= kLT(\ell(\bar{X})\bar{Y} - \ell(\bar{Y})\bar{X}, \bar{Z}) \\ &\quad + kLT(\ell(\bar{Y})\bar{Z} - \ell(\bar{Z})\bar{Y}, \bar{X}) \\ &\quad + kLT(\ell(\bar{Z})\bar{X} - \ell(\bar{X})\bar{Z}, \bar{Y}) \\ &= kL \{ \ell(\bar{X})T(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) - \ell(\bar{Y})T(\bar{X}, \bar{Z}) \} \\ &\quad + kL \{ \ell(\bar{Y})T(\bar{Z}, \bar{X}) - \ell(\bar{Z})T(\bar{Y}, \bar{X}) \} \\ &\quad + kL \{ \ell(\bar{Z})T(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) - \ell(\bar{X})T(\bar{Z}, \bar{Y}) \} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Hence, the result follows from (2.2) and (2.3).

(b) We have [9]:

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}} \{ (\nabla_{\beta\bar{X}} R)(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) + P(\hat{R}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}), \bar{Z})\bar{W} \} = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

From (2.1), noting that the  $(v)hv$ -torsion  $\hat{P}$  is symmetric [9], we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_{\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}} \hat{P}(\hat{R}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}), \bar{Z}) &= kL \{ \ell(\bar{X})\hat{P}(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) - \ell(\bar{Y})\hat{P}(\bar{X}, \bar{Z}) \} \\ &\quad + kL \{ \ell(\bar{Y})\hat{P}(\bar{Z}, \bar{X}) - \ell(\bar{Z})\hat{P}(\bar{Y}, \bar{X}) \} \\ &\quad + kL \{ \ell(\bar{Z})\hat{P}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) - \ell(\bar{X})\hat{P}(\bar{Z}, \bar{Y}) \} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

From which, together with (2.4), it follows that

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}} (\nabla_{\beta\bar{X}} \hat{R})(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

---

<sup>2</sup> $\mathfrak{S}_{\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}}$  denotes the cyclic sum over  $\bar{X}, \bar{Y}$  and  $\bar{Z}$ .

Again from (2.1), noting that  $\nabla_{\beta\bar{X}}\ell = 0$  (Lemma 2.2(b)), (2.5) reads

$$\begin{aligned} & L(\nabla_{\beta\bar{X}}k) \{ \ell(\bar{Y})\bar{Z} - \ell(\bar{Z})\bar{Y} \} + L(\nabla_{\beta\bar{Y}}k) \{ \ell(\bar{Z})\bar{X} - \ell(\bar{X})\bar{Z} \} \\ & + L(\nabla_{\beta\bar{Z}}k) \{ \ell(\bar{X})\bar{Y} - \ell(\bar{Y})\bar{X} \} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $\bar{Z} = \bar{\eta}$  into the above equation, noting that  $\ell(\bar{\eta}) = L$  ((Lemma 2.2)(c)), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & L(\nabla_{\beta\bar{X}}k) \{ \ell(\bar{Y})\bar{\eta} - L\bar{Y} \} + L(\nabla_{\beta\bar{Y}}k) \{ L\bar{X} - \ell(\bar{X})\bar{\eta} \} \\ & + L(\nabla_{\beta\bar{\eta}}k) \{ \ell(\bar{X})\bar{Y} - \ell(\bar{Y})\bar{X} \} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the trace of both sides with respect to  $\bar{Y}$ , it follows that

$$\nabla_{\beta\bar{X}}k = L^{-1}(\nabla_{\beta\bar{\eta}}k)\ell(\bar{X}). \quad (2.6)$$

On the other hand, we have [9]

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_{\gamma\bar{X}}R)(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) + (\nabla_{\beta\bar{Y}}P)(\bar{Z}, \bar{X}, \bar{W}) - (\nabla_{\beta\bar{Z}}P)(\bar{Y}, \bar{X}, \bar{W}) \\ & - P(\bar{Z}, \hat{P}(\bar{Y}, \bar{X}))\bar{W} + R(T(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}), \bar{Z})\bar{W} - S(\hat{R}(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}), \bar{X})\bar{W} \\ & + P(\bar{Y}, \hat{P}(\bar{Z}, \bar{X}))\bar{W} - R(T(\bar{X}, \bar{Z}), \bar{Y})\bar{W} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Setting  $\bar{W} = \bar{\eta}$  into the above relation, noting that  $K \circ \gamma = id_{\mathfrak{X}(\pi(M))}$ ,  $K \circ \beta = 0$  and  $\hat{S} = 0$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_{\gamma\bar{X}}\hat{R})(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) - R(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})\bar{X} + (\nabla_{\beta\bar{Y}}\hat{P})(\bar{Z}, \bar{X}) - (\nabla_{\beta\bar{Z}}\hat{P})(\bar{Y}, \bar{X}) \\ & - \hat{P}(\bar{Z}, \hat{P}(\bar{Y}, \bar{X})) + \hat{R}(T(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}), \bar{Z}) + \hat{P}(\bar{Y}, \hat{P}(\bar{Z}, \bar{X})) - \hat{R}(T(\bar{X}, \bar{Z}), \bar{Y}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the cyclic sum  $\mathfrak{S}_{\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}}$  on the above equation, taking **(a)** into account, we get

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}}(\nabla_{\gamma\bar{X}}\hat{R})(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

Substituting (2.1) into (2.8), using  $(\nabla_{\gamma\bar{X}}\ell)(\bar{Y}) = L^{-1}\hbar(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})$  (Lemma 2.2(b)), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & L(\nabla_{\gamma\bar{Z}}k) \{ (\ell(\bar{X})\bar{Y} - \ell(\bar{Y})\bar{X}) \} + L(\nabla_{\gamma\bar{Y}}k) \{ (\ell(\bar{Z})\bar{X} - \ell(\bar{X})\bar{Z}) \} \\ & + L(\nabla_{\gamma\bar{X}}k) \{ (\ell(\bar{Y})\bar{Z} - \ell(\bar{Z})\bar{Y}) \} + k\ell(\bar{Z}) \{ (\ell(\bar{X})\bar{Y} - \ell(\bar{Y})\bar{X}) \} \\ & + k\ell(\bar{Y}) \{ (\ell(\bar{Z})\bar{X} - \ell(\bar{X})\bar{Z}) \} + k\ell(\bar{X}) \{ (\ell(\bar{Y})\bar{Z} - \ell(\bar{Z})\bar{Y}) \} \\ & + kL \{ (\hbar(\bar{X}, \bar{Z})\bar{Y} - \hbar(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})\bar{X}) \} + kL \{ (\hbar(\bar{Z}, \bar{Y})\bar{X} - \hbar(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\bar{Z}) \} \\ & + kL \{ (\hbar(\bar{Y}, \bar{X})\bar{Z} - \hbar(\bar{Z}, \bar{X})\bar{Y}) \} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $\bar{Z} = \bar{\eta}$  into the above relation, noting that  $\ell(\bar{\eta}) = L$ ,  $\hbar(\bar{\eta}, \cdot) = 0$  (Lemma 2.2(c)) and  $\nabla_{\gamma\bar{\eta}}k = 0$ , we conclude that

$$L^2 \{ \nabla_{\gamma\bar{X}}k \phi(\bar{Y}) - \nabla_{\gamma\bar{Y}}k \phi(\bar{X}) \} = 0, \quad (2.9)$$

where  $\phi$  is a vector  $\pi$ -form defined by

$$g(\phi(\bar{X}), \bar{Y}) := \hbar(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}). \quad (2.10)$$

Taking the trace of both sides of (2.9) with respect to  $\overline{Y}$ , noting that  $Tr(\phi) = n-1$  [7], it follows that

$$(n-2)\nabla_{\gamma\overline{X}}k = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\nabla_{\gamma\overline{X}}k = 0 \quad \text{for all } \overline{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(\pi(M)), \text{ if } n \geq 3. \quad (2.11)$$

Now, applying the  $v$ -covariant derivative with respect to  $\overline{Y}$  on both sides of (2.6), yields

$$\ell(\overline{Y})\nabla_{\beta\overline{X}}k + L(\overset{2}{\nabla}\overset{1}{\nabla}k)(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = L^{-1}\hbar(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})(\nabla_{\beta\overline{\eta}}k) + \ell(\overline{X})(\overset{2}{\nabla}\overset{1}{\nabla}k)(\overline{\eta}, \overline{Y}).$$

Since,  $\overset{2}{\nabla}\overset{1}{\nabla}k = \overset{1}{\nabla}\overset{2}{\nabla}k = 0$  (Lemma 2.1 and (2.11)), the above relation reduces to (provided that  $n \geq 3$ )

$$\ell(\overline{Y})\nabla_{\beta\overline{X}}k = L^{-1}\hbar(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})(\nabla_{\beta\overline{\eta}}k).$$

Setting  $\overline{Y} = \overline{\eta}$  into the above equation, noting that  $\ell(\overline{\eta}) = L$  and  $\hbar(., \overline{\eta}) = 0$ , it follows that  $\nabla_{\beta\overline{\eta}}k = 0$ . Consequently, again by (2.6),

$$\nabla_{\beta\overline{X}}k = 0 \quad \text{for all } \overline{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(\pi(M)), \text{ if } n \geq 3. \quad (2.12)$$

Now, Equations (2.11) and (2.12) imply that  $k$  is a constant if  $n \geq 3$ . This complete the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $(M, L)$  be a Finsler manifold with dimension  $n \geq 3$  and let  $q \neq 1$  be an arbitrary real number. If the  $h$ -curvature tensor  $R$  satisfies*

$$R(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z} = k\mathfrak{A}_{\overline{X}, \overline{Y}}\{qg(\overline{X}, \overline{Z})\overline{Y} + (q-2)\{L^{-1}g(\overline{X}, \overline{Z})\ell(\overline{Y})\overline{\eta} - \ell(\overline{Y})\ell(\overline{Z})\overline{X}\}\}, \quad (2.13)$$

where  $k(x, y)$  is a homogenous function of degree 0 in  $y$ , then

(a)  $k$  is a constant.

(b) If  $k \neq 0$ , we have:

- 1)  $P(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z} = P(\overline{Y}, \overline{X})\overline{Z}$  (i.e.,  $(M, L)$  is symmetric),
- 2)  $S(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z} = \frac{2-q}{2(q-1)L^2}\{\hbar(\overline{X}, \overline{Z})\phi(\overline{Y}) - \hbar(\overline{Y}, \overline{Z})\phi(\overline{X})\}.$

*Proof.*

(a) Setting  $\overline{Z} = \overline{\eta}$  into (2.13), we get

$$\widehat{R}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = 2(q-1)kL\{\ell(\overline{X})\overline{Y} - \ell(\overline{Y})\overline{X}\}. \quad (2.14)$$

From which, together with Theorem 2.3, the result follows.

(b) 1). Applying the  $v$ -covariant derivative with respect to  $\overline{W}$  on both sides of (2.13), we get

$$(\nabla_{\beta\overline{W}}R)(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z}) = 0.$$

From which, together (2.4), it follows that

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z}} P(\widehat{R}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}), \overline{Z}) \overline{W} = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

In view of (2.14), noting that  $k \neq 0$ , (2.15) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(q-1)L \{P(\ell(\overline{X})\overline{Y} - \ell(\overline{Y})\overline{X}, \overline{Z})\overline{W}\} + 2(q-1)L \{P(\ell(\overline{Y})\overline{Z} - \ell(\overline{Z})\overline{Y}, \overline{X})\overline{W}\} \\ & + 2(q-1)L \{P(\ell(\overline{Z})\overline{X} - \ell(\overline{X})\overline{Z}, \overline{Y})\overline{W}\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $\overline{Z} = \overline{\eta}$  into the above equation, taking into account the fact that  $\ell(\overline{\eta}) = L$  and  $P(., \overline{\eta}). = P(\overline{\eta}, .). = 0$  [9], we get

$$2(q-1)L \{P(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{W} - P(\overline{Y}, \overline{X})\overline{W}\} = 0.$$

Hence, the result follows.

**(b) 2).** Taking the cyclic sum  $\mathfrak{S}_{\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z}}$  of (2.7) and using (b)1), we obtain

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z}} \left\{ (\nabla_{\gamma \overline{X}} R)(\overline{Y}, \overline{Z}, \overline{W}) - S(\widehat{R}(\overline{Y}, \overline{Z}), \overline{X}) \overline{W} \right\} = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

On the other hand, by taking the  $v$ -covariant derivative of both sides of (2.13), using  $(\nabla_{\gamma \overline{X}} L) = \ell(\overline{X})$ ,  $(\nabla_{\gamma \overline{X}} \ell)(\overline{Y}) = L^{-1} \hbar(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})$  and  $\nabla_{\gamma \overline{X}} g = 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{\gamma \overline{X}} R)(\overline{Y}, \overline{Z}, \overline{W}) &= k(q-2) \mathfrak{A}_{\overline{X}, \overline{Y}} \{g(\overline{X}, \overline{W}) \hbar(\overline{Z}, \overline{Y}) \frac{\overline{\eta}}{L^2} + g(\overline{X}, \overline{W}) \ell(\overline{Y}) \frac{\phi(\overline{Z})}{L} \\ &\quad - \hbar(\overline{Z}, \overline{Y}) \ell(\overline{W}) \frac{\overline{X}}{L} - \hbar(\overline{Z}, \overline{W}) \ell(\overline{Y}) \frac{\overline{X}}{L}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the cyclic sum  $\mathfrak{S}_{\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z}}$  of both sides of the above equation and then setting  $\overline{Z} = \overline{\eta}$ , it follows that

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{\eta}} (\nabla_{\gamma \overline{X}} R)(\overline{Y}, \overline{\eta}, \overline{W}) = 2k(q-2) \{ \hbar(\overline{Y}, \overline{W}) \phi(\overline{X}) - \hbar(\overline{X}, \overline{W}) \phi(\overline{Y}) \}. \quad (2.17)$$

In view of (2.14), noting that  $S(., \overline{\eta}). = 0$  and  $S$  is antisymmetric [9], we obtain

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{\eta}} S(\widehat{R}(\overline{Y}, \overline{\eta}), \overline{X}) \overline{W} = 4kL^2(q-1)S(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) \overline{W}. \quad (2.18)$$

Therefore, by setting  $\overline{Z} = \overline{\eta}$  into (2.16), taking (2.17) and (2.18) into account, the result follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.** *Akbar-Zadeh's theorem [1] is a special case of Theorem 2.4, for which  $q = 2$ .*

**Corollary 2.6.** *If the  $h$ -curvature tensor  $R$  of  $(M, L)$ , ( $n \geq 3$ ), satisfies*

$$R(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) \overline{Z} = k \mathfrak{A}_{\overline{X}, \overline{Y}} \left\{ \{g(\overline{X}, \overline{Z}) \frac{\overline{\eta}}{L} - \ell(\overline{Z}) \overline{X}\} \ell(\overline{Y}) \right\},$$

*then,  $k$  is a constant and, moreover, if  $k \neq 0$ , we have:*

**(a)**  *$(M, L)$  is symmetric.*

$$(b) \ S(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z} = \frac{-1}{L^2} \{ \hbar(\overline{X}, \overline{Z})\phi(\overline{Y}) - \hbar(\overline{Y}, \overline{Z})\phi(\overline{X}) \}.$$

### 3. Second generalization of Akbar-Zadeh's theorem

In this section, we give a second intrinsic generalization of Akbar-Zadeh's theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** *If the  $h$ -curvature tensor  $R$  of  $(M, L)$ ,  $\dim M \geq 3$ , satisfies*

$$R(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z} = k \{ g(\overline{X}, \overline{Z})\overline{Y} - g(\overline{Y}, \overline{Z})\overline{X} + \omega(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z} \}, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\omega$  is an indicatory antisymmetric  $h(0)$   $\pi$ -tensor field of type  $(1, 3)$  and  $k(x, y)$  is an  $h(0)$ -function on  $TM$ , then

(a)  $k$  is a constant.

(b) If  $k \neq 0$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 1) \ & P(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z} - P(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z} = L^{-2}(\nabla_{\beta\overline{\eta}}\omega)(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z}). \\ 2) \ & S(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z} = \frac{1}{L^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2kL^2}(\overset{1}{\nabla}\overset{1}{\nabla}\omega)(\overline{\eta}, \overline{\eta}, \overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z}) + \omega(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.*

(a) Follows from Theorem 2.3 by setting  $\overline{Z} = \overline{\eta}$  into (3.1).

(b) 1). By (3.1), we have

$$\widehat{R}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = kL \{ \ell(\overline{X})\overline{Y} - \ell(\overline{Y})\overline{X} \}, \quad (3.2)$$

and by (2.4), we have

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{\eta}} \{ (\nabla_{\beta\overline{X}}R)(\overline{Y}, \overline{\eta}, \overline{W}) + P(\widehat{R}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}), \overline{\eta})\overline{W} \} = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Now, substituting (3.1) and (3.2) into (3.3), we obtain

$$k \{ (\nabla_{\beta\overline{\eta}}\omega)(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{W}) - L^2 \{ P(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z} - P(\overline{Y}, \overline{X})\overline{Z} \} \} = 0$$

From which, since  $k \neq 0$ , the result follows.

(b) 2). Taking the cyclic sum  $\mathfrak{S}_{\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z}}$  of (2.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{S}_{\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z}} \{ (\nabla_{\gamma\overline{X}}R)(\overline{Y}, \overline{Z}, \overline{W}) + (\nabla_{\beta\overline{Y}}P)(\overline{Z}, \overline{X}, \overline{W}) \\ & - (\nabla_{\beta\overline{Z}}P)(\overline{Y}, \overline{X}, \overline{W}) - S(\widehat{R}(\overline{Y}, \overline{Z}), \overline{X})\overline{W} \} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

In view of 1) above, it follows that

$$(\nabla_{\beta\overline{W}}P)(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z}) - (\nabla_{\beta\overline{W}}P)(\overline{Y}, \overline{X}, \overline{Z}) = L^{-2}(\overset{1}{\nabla}\overset{1}{\nabla}\omega)(\overline{W}, \overline{\eta}, \overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z})$$

From which, we get

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{\eta}} \{ (\nabla_{\beta\overline{\eta}}P)(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z}) - (\nabla_{\beta\overline{\eta}}P)(\overline{Y}, \overline{X}, \overline{Z}) \} = L^{-2}(\overset{1}{\nabla}\overset{1}{\nabla}\omega)(\overline{\eta}, \overline{\eta}, \overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z}). \quad (3.5)$$

On the other hand, noting that  $\omega$  is homogenous of degree zero, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{S}_{\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{\eta}}(\nabla_{\gamma \overline{X}} R)(\overline{Y}, \overline{\eta}, \overline{W}) &= (\nabla_{\gamma \overline{X}} R)(\overline{Y}, \overline{\eta}, \overline{W}) + (\nabla_{\gamma \overline{Y}} R)(\overline{\eta}, \overline{X}, \overline{W}) \\ &\quad + (\nabla_{\gamma \overline{\eta}} R)(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{W}) \\ &= 2k\omega(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{W}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{\eta}} S(\widehat{R}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}), \overline{\eta})\overline{W} = 2kL^2 S(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{W}.\tag{3.7}$$

Setting  $\overline{Z} = \overline{\eta}$  into (3.4), taking into account (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7), the result follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Akbar-Zadeh's theorem [1] is obtained from the above Theorem by letting  $\omega = 0$ .*

**Corollary 3.3.** *A Finsler manifold  $(M, L)$  is  $S_3$ -like if  $\omega$  in Theorem 3.1 is given by*

$$\omega(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z} = S \{ \hbar(\overline{X}, \overline{Z})\phi(\overline{Y}) - \hbar(\overline{Y}, \overline{Z})\phi(\overline{X}) \},\tag{3.8}$$

where  $\phi$  is given by (2.10) and  $S(x)$  is a scalar function independent of  $y$ .

*Proof.* From Theorem 3.1(b) and (3.8), the  $v$ -curvature tensor  $S$  takes the form:

$$S(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z} = \frac{1}{L^2} \left\{ S + \frac{(\nabla^1 \nabla^1 S)(\overline{\eta}, \overline{\eta})}{2kL^2} \right\} \{ \hbar(\overline{X}, \overline{Z})\phi(\overline{Y}) - \hbar(\overline{Y}, \overline{Z})\phi(\overline{X}) \}.$$

As the  $v$ -curvature tensor  $S$  is written in the above form, then the term

$$\left\{ S + \frac{(\nabla^1 \nabla^1 S)(\overline{\eta}, \overline{\eta})}{2kL^2} \right\}$$

depends on  $x$  only [6], and so  $(M, L)$  is  $S_3$ -like.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *If the scalar function  $S(x)$  in (3.8) is constant, we have:*

(a)  $P(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z} = P(\overline{Y}, \overline{X})\overline{Z}.$

(b)  $S(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z} = \frac{S}{L^2} \{ \hbar(\overline{X}, \overline{Z})\phi(\overline{Y}) - \hbar(\overline{Y}, \overline{Z})\phi(\overline{X}) \}.$

**Corollary 3.5.** *If the tensor field  $\omega$  in Theorem 3.1 is given by*

$$\omega(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z} = \mathfrak{A}_{\overline{X}, \overline{Y}} \{ H(\overline{X}, \overline{Z})\phi(\overline{Y}) + \hbar(\overline{X}, \overline{Z})H_o(\overline{Y}) \},$$

where  $H$  is a symmetric indicatory  $h(0)$  2-scalar  $\pi$ -form and  $H(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) =: g(H_o(\overline{X}), \overline{Y})$ , then  $(M, L)$  is  $S_4$ -like, that is,

$$S(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z} = \frac{1}{L^2} \mathfrak{A}_{\overline{X}, \overline{Y}} \{ \mu(\overline{X}, \overline{Z})\phi(\overline{Y}) + \hbar(\overline{X}, \overline{Z})\mu_o(\overline{Y}) \},$$

where  $\mu(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = \left\{ H(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) + \frac{(\nabla^1 \nabla^1 H)(\overline{\eta}, \overline{\eta}, \overline{X}, \overline{Y})}{2kL^2} \right\}.$

*Proof.* The proof is clear and we omit it.  $\square$

**Concluding remark.** *It should be noted that the outcome of this work is twofold. Firstly, the local expressions of the obtained results, when calculated, coincide with the existing local results ([1], [4]). Secondly, new global proofs have been established.*

## Acknowledgments

The author express his sincere thanks to referees and my Professor Nabil L. Youssef for their valuable suggestions and comments.

## References

- [1] H. Akbar-Zadeh, *Les espaces de Finsler et certaines de leurs généralisation*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup., **80**, **3**(1963), 1–79.
- [2] H. Akbar-Zadeh, *Initiation to global Finsler geometry*, Elsevier, 2006.
- [3] P. Dazord, *Propriétés globales des géodésiques des espaces de Finsler*, Thèse d’Etat, (575) Publ. Dept. Math. Lyon, 1969.
- [4] S. Hōjō , *On generalizations of Akbar-Zadeh’s theorem in Finsler geometry*, Tensor, N. S., **7**(1982), 285–290.
- [5] A. Soleiman, *Infinitesimal transformations and changes in Finsler geometry and special Finsler spaces*, Ph. D. Thesis, Cairo University, 2010.
- [6] A. A. Tamim, *Special Finsler manifolds*, J. Egypt. Math. Soc., **10**, **2**(2002), 149–177.
- [7] Nabil L. Youssef, S. H. Abed and A. Soleiman, *A global approach to the theory of special Finsler manifolds*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **48**, **4** (2008), 857–893. ArXiv Number: 0704.0053 [math. DG].
- [8] ———, *A global approach to the theory of connections in Finsler geometry*, Tensor, N. S., **71**, **3**(2009), 187–208. ArXiv Number: 0801.3220 [math.DG].
- [9] ———, *Geometric objects associated with the fundamental connections in Finsler geometry*, J. Egypt. Math. Soc., **18**, **1** (2010), 67–90. ArXiv Number: 0805.2489 [math.DG].